#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

### **PCT**

REC'D 0 3. MAR 2006

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY PCT

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P210318PCT  FOR FURTHER AC		TION See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
International application No. PCT/NL2005/000083	International filing date (d 04.02.2005	lay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 06.02.2004		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC					
A23L1/217, A23L1/216, A23L1/015, A23B7/06					
AZSETIZIT, AZSETIZITO, AZSETIOTO, AZSETIOTO					
Applicant					
KONINKLIJKE COÖPERATIE COSUN U.A. et al					
. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.					
This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:					
a. 🗵 sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of 3 sheets, as follows:					
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).					
☐ shoote which superse	de earlier sheets, but wh	ich this Authority consi	ders contain an amendment that goes		
beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.					
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a					
sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).					
Box relating to coqueries			,		
4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:					
☐ Box No. I Basis of the opi	inion				
☐ Box No. II Priority					
☐ Box No. III Non-establishm	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
☐ Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; cit	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
☑ Box No. VI Certain docume	ents cited				
☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application					
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observa	ations on the internation	al application			
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of thi	is report		
05.12.2005		06.03.2006			
		Authorized Officer			
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:		Authorized Officer	disches Palentame		
European Patent Office - P.E	3. 5818 Patentlaan 2	Muillama / M			
NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl		Vuillamy, V			
Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016		Telephone No. +31 70 3	340-3504 Soliles online 301110		

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/NL2005/000083

	Box No. I	Basis of the report		
1.	With rega filed, unle	With regard to the <b>language</b> , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was iled, unless otherwise indicated under this item.		
	which □ in □ pu	report is based on translations from the original language into the following language , n is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: ternational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) ublication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) ternational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)		
2.	have bee	rd to the <b>elements</b> * of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets which</i> in furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):		
	Description	on, Pages		
	1-19	as originally filed		
Claims, Numbers		umbers		
	1-21	received on 05.12.2005 with letter of 05.12.2005		
	□ a sec	quence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing		
3.	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:  ☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs ☐ the sequence listing (specify): ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):			
4.	had not b Suppleme th th th th th	report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below een made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the ental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).  The description, pages are claims, Nos.  The drawings, sheets/figs are sequence listing (specify):  The my table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
	* If i	tem 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."		

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/NL2005/000083

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-16

No:

Claims

17-21

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-16

No: Claims

17-21

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-21

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

#### Box No. VI Certain documents cited

 Certain published documents (Rule 70.10) and /or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rule 70.9)

see separate sheet

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

The following documents are referred to:

WO-A-2004/004484 D1: US-A-3 109 739 D2: D3: GB 978 818 A D4: WO-A-02/39828 US-A-5 965 189 D5: D6: US-A-3 835 222 D7: WO-A-01/78524 D8: JOURNAL OF FOOD ENGINEERING, (52)2002

**V.1/** The present application does not meet the requirements of Article 33 PCT because the subject-matter of claims 17-21 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

- <u>Remark</u> The features of claims 17-21 reflect a low reducing sugar and/or asparagine content (claims 17 and 20), a high retention of valuable water-soluble components (claim 18, 19), and the use of acid pyrophosphate (claim 21). These features are considered as implicitly present (when not explicitly disclosed) in the prior art products when their process of obtention obviously leads to a similar result.
- The feature 'blanched' of claims 19 and 20 does not appear to allow differentiating the products of these claims from prior art heat-treated potato products, because this term encompasses an undefined range of heat treatments (various durations and temperatures).
  - **V.1.1/** The subject-matter of claim 17, 19 and 20 is not new over D2 (cf. p.1, l.65-68), disclosing potato products made from potatoes of less than 0.2% reducing sugars. The loss of soluble components is regarded as minimized (no blanching step mentioned).
  - **V.1.2/** The subject-matter of claims 17-19 is not new over D3, disclosing (cf. p.7, par.3-4; ex.11) potato products treated with an hexose oxidase. This process obviously leads to fried potato pieces with low acrylamide level and preserved levels of potassium and citric acid (no blanching step).
  - **V.1.3/** The subject-matter of claims 17-19 is not new over D4, disclosing (cf. p.4, par.5 to p.10, par. 3; ex. 1; claims) food products like potato products baked under conditions avoiding acrylamide formation and loss of soluble components (no blanching step).
  - V.1.4/ The subject-matter of claims 19 and 21 is not new over D5, disclosing (cf. fig.1; col.2,

I.12-24) potatoes blanched with steam, and therefore having a high retention of water-soluble components. The potato pieces are further treated with sodium acid pyrophosphate (SAPP). This customary treatment normally provides at least 100mg SAPP /kg product.

**V.2/** The subject matter of claims 1-16 is not disclosed nor suggested in the available prior art, and meets the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.

The closest prior D4 mentions the problem of acrylamide formation in baked or fried products like potatoes, and suggests various solutions, including removing reducing sugars or asparagine, eg with enzymes.

The subject-matter of claims 1 and 13 differs in that the process involves a blanching step, and in that the reducing sugars and/or asparagine are removed from the spent blanching medium, which is then reused. This recirculation of the spent blanching medium (which comprises soluble compounds leeched out in the previous stage) results in a better retention of valuable water-soluble components (partly because the extracted components are recycled, but also because diffusion of these components is minimized).

The problem to be solved by the present invention can therefore be seen as the provision of a method for making fried or baked products with low acrylamide levels, the native enzymes being inactivated (blanching), said method minimizing the loss of valuable soluble components.

Blanching is a common step when making eg potato products. Document D8 even teaches that recycling the blanching medium results in a better retention of soluble components. The skilled person would however find no indication to remove the unwanted sugars/asparagine from the spent blanching medium.

D7 suggests the use of various enzymes for improving various properties of potato products, but merely suggests concurrently performing the enzymatic and blanching treatments without further details, and does not suggest recycling the spent water. D7 does not address the present problem of acrylamide reduction, nor does it suggest treating the spent blanching medium (as opposed to the potato product).

Documents D1/D2 teach separating a liquid portion from a pureed potato product, removing reducing sugars/asparagine with enzymes from said liquid portion, and returning a sufficient amount of said liquid so that the initial solids content is achieved (in the examples, only a portion is recycled, and will therefore still result in soluble components being lost). D1/D2 is however not directed to a blanching treatment with recycled spent medium, nor does it address the problem of acrylamide reduction.

The skilled person would therefore not find in these documents any incentive to solve the problem posed by modifying the method of D4 and arrive to the claimed process.

Re Item VI
Certain documents cited

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/NL2005/000083

Late published documents:

EP-A-1419702, published 19.05.2004, filed 15.11.2002 WO-A-2004026073, published 01.01.2004, filed 20.09.2003 WO-A-2005004629, published 20.01.2005, filed 14.06.2004, priority of 25.06.2003

The validity of the priority of the present application has not been examined, but the report is based on the assumption that the valid date is the claimed priority date.

5

15

20

5-12-2005

0 5. 12. 2005

P210318PCT

#### Amended claims:

1. A process of producing a food product by heat-treating a food material containing reducing sugars, comprising the step of blanching the food material, wherein the blanching step comprises subjecting the food material to an active blanching medium under blanching conditions in a blanching section to produce spent blanching medium, withdrawing reducing sugars from the spent blanching medium to produce active blanching medium using a sugar-withdrawing means, and reusing the active blanching medium.

1

- The process according to claim 1, wherein the reducing sugars are withdrawn from 10 2. the spent blanching medium in a desugaring section which is separated from the blanching section, to which desugaring section a stream of spent blanching medium is conducted, and wherein a stream of active blanching medium is recycled to the blanching section.
  - The process according to claim 1 or 2, wherein the sugar-withdrawing means is one or more conversion agents capable of converting reducing sugars, wherein the conversion agents are selected from the group consisting of bacteria, yeasts, moulds and enzymes.
  - The process according to claim 3, wherein the conversion agent comprises one or more micro-organisms capable of converting glucose and/or fructose.
- The process according to claim 3 or 4, wherein the micro-organisms are selected from the bacterial genera Lactobacillus, Bacillus, Streptococcus, Oenococcus, 25 Leuconostoc and Zymomonas, yeast genera Saccharomyces and Candida, and fungal genera Aspergillus and Rhizopus.
- The process according to claim 5, wherein the micro-organisms are selected from 6. 30 the species Bacillus coagulans, Lactobacillus gasseri, Lactobacillus manihotivorans, Lactobacillus plantarum, Streptococcus thermophilus and Zymomonas mobilis.

15

5-12-2005

P210318PCT

2

- 7. The process according to claim 3, wherein the conversion agent comprises one or more enzymes that are kept separated from the food material.
- 5 8. The process according to claim 7, wherein the enzyme is a glucose oxidase, a mannitol dehydrogenase or a glucose-fructose oxidoreductase, or a combination thereof.
- 9. The process according to claim 7 or 8, wherein the enzyme is present in the desugaring section in concentrations in the range of 10<sup>2</sup> to 10<sup>5</sup>, preferably of 10<sup>3</sup> to 5.10<sup>3</sup> enzyme units per gram glucose in the spent blanching medium.
  - 10. The process according to claims 1 or 2, wherein the sugar-withdrawing agent is a sorbent capable of selectively adsorbing reducing sugars.
  - 11. The process according to claim 10, wherein the sorbent is used in a chromatographic separation method such as a Simulated Moving Bed process (SMB) or an Improved Simulated Moving Bed process (ISMB).
- 12. The process according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the reducing sugar content of the food material after blanching is less than 0.25 wt.%, preferably less than 0.1 wt.%, more preferably less than 0.05 wt.% of the blanched food material.
- 13. A process of producing a food product by heat-treating a food material containing reducing sugars, comprising the step of blanching the food material, wherein the blanching step comprises subjecting the food product to an active blanching medium under blanching conditions in a blanching section to produce spent blanching medium, withdrawing reducing sugars and/or asparagine from the spent blanching medium to produce active blanching medium using a desugaring and/or asparagine-withdrawing means, and reusing the active blanching medium.

10

5-12-2005

P210318PCT

3

- 14. The process according to claim 13, wherein the desugaring and/or asparaginewithdrawing means is an enzyme or a sorbent capable of selectively converting or adsorbing asparagine and/or reducing sugars.
- 5 15. The process according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the reducing sugars are fructose and/or glucose.
  - 16. The process according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the food product is a potato product.
  - 17. A fried, baked, roasted or grilled potato product prepared from whole potatoes, having an acrylamide content lower than 150 μg per kg potato product.
- 18. The potato product according to claim 17, further comprising at least 3 g potassium and at least 3.5 g citric acid per kg product.
  - 19. A blanched potato product prepared from whole potatoes, comprising at least 3 g potassium and at least 3.5 g citric acid per kg product.
- 20. The blanched potato product according to claim 19, further comprising a reducing sugar content less than 0.25 wt.%, preferably less than 0.1 wt.%, more preferably less than 0.05 wt.% of the product.
- 21. The blanched potato product according to claim 19 or 20, further comprising at least 100 mg of an acid pyrophosphate per kg product.